GAIN: INNOVATIVE MATERIALS RESEARCH WORKSHOP

JUNE 15, 2022





Aurora

FAST FISSION BY OKLO



Making reactors people want

- Affordable and reliable, 24/7 carbon-free power
- Flexible siting
- Minimal water resources required
- Inherently simple and robust
- Designed for performance

A RICH HISTORY

The Aurora builds on the legacy of the Experimental Breeder Reactor II, a sodiumcooled fast reactor with onsite recycling of used fuel. EBR-II operated from 1964-1994, demonstrating inherent safety characteristics and the ability to recycle fuel.



How it works

- Heat is generated by fission and transferred to liquid metal coolant
- Heat is then carried to the power conversion system
- The power conversion system converts heat to electricity, and can also deliver heat directly



DESIGN PARAMETERS

Electric capacity 1 to 15 MWe

Thermal capacity 4 to 50 MWt

Temp. of usable heat 500-550 C

Capacity factor >90%

Licensed operating life **20+ years**

Frequency of refueling 10-20 years

Land usage <1 acre

Larger designs in development as well

CLADDING & CORE MATERIALS

CLADDING & CORE MATERIALS

	1-5 years	5-10 Years	10+ Years
ſ	Near Term	Intermediate Term	Long Term
	 Core materials manufactured from existing alloys such as ferritic/martensitic and austenitic stainless steel or legacy super alloys 	 Existing alloys manufactured with FCCI barriers Incremental improvements of existing alloys Commercial availability of new alloys (e.g., refractory metal- based alloys) 	 Oxide dispersion Strengthened (ODS) Alloys New manufacturing methods Advanced fuel forms
	 Challenges Limited Supply Chain Capacity, Capability, and Desire 	 Challenges Performance Data Supply Chain Development 	 Challenges Performance Data Limited to no existing supply chain

CLADDING & CORE MATERIALS (NEAR TERM)

- Designers with near term deployments have gravitated towards "available" alloys
 - Leverage data from past experiments •
 - Tap existing manufacturing capability ullet

Challenge

 US Supply Chain capacity to produce metal meeting typical "nuclear grade" requirements is limited

intended for use in manufacturing core components used at high temperatures in liquid metal cooled nuclear reactors. 2.4 ASME Standard: 1.2 The bars, billets, and forgings are intended for machining, welding, hot- and cold-forming operations. 1.3 The values stated in either inch-pound units or SI units are to be regarded separately as standard. Within the text, the SI units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in nonconformance with the specification 1.4 This specification and the applicable material specifications are expressed in both inch-pound and SI units. However, 3.1.3 Finish. unless the order specifies the applicable "M" specification designation (SI units), the material shall be furnished in inch-pound units 2. Referenced Documents 2.1 ASTM Standards: A 370 Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products² 3.1.10 Melting process A 388/A388M Practice for Ultrasonic Examination of 3.1.11 Approva Heavy Steel Forgings A 484/A484M Specification for General Requirements for Stainless Steel Bars, Billets, and Forgings3 A 751 Test Methods, Practices, and Terminology Chemical Analysis of Steel Products² E 3 Methods of Preparation of Metallograp

Designation: A 831/A831M - 95 (Reapproved 2000)

Standard Specification for

1.1 This specification covers hot- and cold-finished austen-

itic and martensitic stainless steel bars, billets, and forgings

Components¹

1. Scope

E 45 Practice for Determining the

2.2 ANSI Standard: B 46.1 Surface Texture⁵ 2.3 ASNDT Standard:

Austenitic and Martensitic Stainless Steel Bars, Billets, and

This standard is issued under the fixed designation A 831/A831M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval

Forgings for Liquid Metal Cooled Reactor Core

A superscript epsilon (e) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

SNT-TC-1A Recommended Practice for Nondestructive Testing Personnel Qualifications and Certification⁶

NQA-1 Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities7

Ordering Information

3.1 It is the responsibility of the purchaser to specify all requirements that are necessary for material ordered under this specification. Such requirements may include but are not limited to the following:

3.1.1 Quantity (weight or number of pieces). 3.1.2 Condition (cold-worked, annealed, or tempered)

3.1.4 Applicable dimensions, including size, thickness,

- width, and length (if forgings, include prints or sketches). 3.1.5 Form (bars, billets, etc.)
- 3.1.6 Grade designation.

3.1.7 ASTM designation and year of issue.

3.1.8 Marking requirements.

3.1.9 Other applicable documents (2.4).

dures for conversion of ingots

requirements for austenitic grades

on requirements (4.6). v requirements (5.1). ct analysis tolerances (5.3). size limits for bar, billets, and forgings requir-

Opportunity

- Refine legacy requirements
- Develop new suppliers •

4. Manufacture

4.1 Melting-Unless an alternative melting process has been specified in Section 9, the process for austenitic grades shall consist of a vacuum induction melt followed by a consumable electrode vacuum-arc remelt. Additions of rare - when denote a second term and the first of second second term also

itute, 11 W. 42nd St., 13th tive Testing, P.O. Box 5642. Engineers, 345 E. 47th St.,

CLADDING & CORE MATERIALS (INTERMEDIATE)

- Improvement of existing alloys using metallurgical fundamentals
 - Austenitic Alloys
 - Impact of Manufacturing Variability on Properties
 - Product Form Variations
- Development of a Commercial Source for New Alloys
 - Refractory alloys (e.g., vanadium)
- Fabrication of cladding from existing alloys with FCCI barrier for SFRs

Development of Diffusion Barrier Coatings and Deposition Technologies for Mitigating Fuel Cladding Chemical Interactions (FCCI)



Void swelling of conventional and composition engineered HT9 alloys after high-dose self-ion irradiation

Hyosim Kim⁹ 유 평, Jonathan G. Gigax⁹, Connor J. Rietema^{4, c}, Osman El Atwani³, Matthew R. Chancey³, Jon K. Baldwin⁶, Yongqiang Wang³, Stuart A. Maloy² Show more 약 Share 99 Cite

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2021.153492 Get rights and conten

Abstract

Ferritic/martensitic (F/M) steels are being considered as potential structural materials for next generation nuclear reactors, and variants of the alloy HT9 are some of the most promising candidates. In this study, two conventional and two composition engineered HT9 alloys were irradiated using 3.5 MeV Fe²⁺ up to 600 peak displacement-per-atom (dpa) at 450 °C. Void swelling and <u>microstructure</u>

ORNL/TM-2018/972

Assessment of the Propensity of Low Creep Ductility for Optimized Grade 92 Steel



Lizhen Tan

9/7/2018

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

CLADDING & CORE MATERIALS (LONG TERM)

- Oxide Dispersion Strengthened Alloys
 - Commercial source capable of producing ODS quantities at scale
- New Manufacturing Methods
 - Co-fabrication of cladding + wire wrap (SFRs)
 - Additive Manufacturing
- Advanced Fuel Forms





Energy for human potential