Accessing the High Damage Regime with Ion Irradiation in the SNAP Program

Kevin G. Field¹, Fei Gao², Todd R. Allen³, Emmanuelle Marquis⁴, Zhijie Jiao⁵, Gary Was^{6*}, Brian Wirth⁷, Steve Zinkle⁸, & Arthur Motta⁹

¹⁻⁶ University of Michigan – Ann Arbor
 ⁷⁻⁸ University of Tennessee – Knoxville
 ⁹ Pennsylvania State University
 *Corresponding author: gsw@umich.edu

Support provided by DOE Office of Nuclear Energy's Nuclear Energy University Programs under contract DE-NE0000639





High dose, fast reactor irradiation of structural materials can cause dimensional changes (e.g., swelling)



Complexity of radiation effects precludes empirical approach of trial and error → focus on scientifically driven investigation combining experiment & modeling



Literature data for high-dose radiation effects is commonly restricted to below 200 dpa, with most below 50 dpa



[7] J. Van Den Bosch, O. Anderoglu, R. Dickerson, M. Hartl, P. Dickerson, J.A. Aguiar, P. Hosemann, M.B. Toloczko, S. A. Maloy,

[5] B.H. Sencer, J.R. Kennedy, J.I. Cole, S.A. Maloy, F.A. Garner, J. Nucl. Mater. 393 (2009) 235.

[6] J.J. Kai, R.L. Klueh, J. Nucl. Mater. 230 (1996) 116.

J. Nucl. Mater. 440 (2013) 91.



Our understanding of high dose (>50 – 100 dpa) can be extended by the use of high flux ion beams...



Getto et al., JNM 480 (2916) 159



Understanding the acceleration effect at face value is akin to baking

ļi,

100

1.

0.7

Understanding the acceleration effect at face value is akin to baking

....but, it's not so simple

1

1.

07



Traditional approach, Mansur shift, DT=120°C does not replicate microstructure

BOR-60





 $DI = BOR-60 + 120^{\circ}C$

Objective

Identify the underlying processes governing cavity nucleation and early-stage growth to replicate and predict the cavity microstructure of neutron irradiated complex steels with dual ion irradiation.

Impacts of reaching the objective:

- Reduction in reliance on test reactors for data at high dpa
- Disruptive leap in speed (1000x) of assessing radiation effects, allowing rapid development of radiation resistant materials
- Reduction in cost by up to 1000x, providing resources for complementary programs
- Accelerating the licensing process

Our "reference" reactor is the BOR-60 fast reactor







Our acceleration method is achieved using the Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory

- Extremely well-controlled irradiations (temperature, dose, dose rate)
- High doses are easily achievable
 - 1 dpa/day for protons
 - 100 dpa/day for self-ions
- Dual/Triple beam capability to account for transmutation product
- Low sample activation
- Cheap

Critical to simulating neutrons using ions is the capacity for single, dual, and triple beam ion irradiations





The Michigan Muli-beam Chamber (MBC) for fast reactor materials development

Critical to simulating neutrons using ions is the capacity for single, dual, and triple beam ion irradiations



The Michigan Muli-beam Chamber (MBC) for fast reactor materials development

Design of experiments to meet our objective







Important Details:

- Dual ion irradiations conduced with 5 MeV Fe²⁺ for displacement damage and simultaneously co-injected with helium at the Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory (MIBL)
- Characterization limited to 500-700nm
 from the surface
- Dislocation loops, cavities, precipitates and RIS characterized





Emulation of Reactor Irradiation Using Dual Ions



Emulation of Reactor Irradiation Using Dual Ions



Coupling experimental results with a cluster dynamics model provides detailed insights on helium-dose rate-temperature invariances



- Adds a third axis to the phase space for 'sites'
- Only helium monomers bind to these sites with an input binding energy (Gao JNM 418)
- Bound helium is immobile, and can absorb incoming helium or vacancies to provide an alternative nucleation path



Helium trapping and detrapping must be accounted for in temperature shifts to account for dose rate



- Helium trap induced temperature shift is stronger at reactor damage rates.
- Enhanced nucleation from helium trapping results in the experimentally observed temperature shift.



Helium "flows" from sink to sink based on binding energy



Time dependence:

- 1. Helium diffuses to sinks and traps in proportion to sink strength.
- 2. Helium releases from a weaker trap and diffuses.
- 3. Helium accumulates at the strongest trap.

Higher He injection rate to counter lack of detrapping in the short ion irradiation time





S. Taller, G. VanCoevering, B. D. Wirth, and G. S. Was, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021) 2949

Conclusions

- Enhanced nucleation from helium trapping results in the <u>experimentally observed temperature shift with</u> <u>damage rate</u>.
- As the damage rate is increased for a fixed temperature, the amount of helium trapped at sinks other than cavities increases from the lack of time (during the short ion irradiations) to release from weaker traps and therefore the helium injection rate must be increased to compensate.
- <u>Temperature and helium rate must be increased with</u> <u>damage rate</u> to match cavity microstructure during cavity nucleation.
- At high dpa (past cavity nucleation), ion helium rate should be lowered to reactor helium generation rate.
- Acknowledgements:
 - Technical support from Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory (MIBL) and Michigan Center for Materials Characterization (MC)²





