## Instrumentation and Sensors - ORNL

March 5, 2025

Chris Petrie | Group Leader, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Contributions from: Anant Raj, Brandon Schreiber, Pradeep Ramuhalli











#### **Microreactor applications**

- Smaller size
- Factory assembled
- Automated or autonomous operation to reduce O&M costs (no economies of scale)
- Components may be located closer to the core in a harsher environment with limited access
  - Challenging to monitor or inspect, could benefit from advanced monitoring techniques
- Longer refueling cycles, less time for inspections/maintenance
  - Manual inspections may not be an option
  - Online monitoring could enable predictive maintenance





https://inl.gov/trending-topic/microreactors/

### **FY24** recap: Distributed fiber optic acoustic sensors for localized damage detection in metals



40

35

Mode 1 (FBG)

Mode 2 (FBG)

Mode 3 (FBG)

#### FY25: Focus on graphite in-core components

- Goal: Utilize electrical impedance tomography (EIT) to localize cracking or other damage in graphite microreactor components
  - Leverages semiconducting properties: conductive, but not too conductive (we hope...)



https://ichef.bbci.co.uk/news/1024/cpsprodpb/62E2/produ ction/\_105941352\_hunterston1.jpg.webp



#### Experimental challenge: Reliably measure small changes in impedance across many electrodes

10

- Multiplexer required to measure impedance between multiple electrode pairs
- DAQM904A
  - Sparse documentation, may not allow switchable common output

ADG1406

- Lengthy development time for custom printed circuit board
- Initial testing conducted with block sample and spring-loaded pogo pin contacts
  - May need more reliable connection method to resolve small impedances
- **L**ow expected impedance (tens of  $m\Omega$ )
  - Needs sensitive, repeatable measurements



### Additional challenge: Electrical impedance of graphite varies considerably

- As-fabricated resistivity of graphite can vary by more than an order of magnitude
- Can change by 2–3X under irradiation
  - Currently using POCO AXF-5Q fine-grain ( $\sim$ 5 µm) graphite



#### Finite element model + measurements to solve for impedance distribution



**Electrodes** 



 $\nabla \cdot \left( \sigma \nabla \varphi(\vec{\sigma}) \right) = 0$ 

Potential distribution

#### **Forward Problem (known**



impedance distribution ( $\sigma$ ) **Input:** Current applied across specific pairs of

Output: Voltages across all pairs of electrodes  $(\phi)$ 

#### electrodes **Thyerse Problem (unknown impedance)**

**Output:** Impedance distribution ( $\sigma$ )

**Input:** Measured voltages with known current applied across each pair of electrodes ( $\phi$ ) **Input:** Known geometry Microreactor

**ANSYS** finite element model

# Introducing a crack qualitatively behaves as expected



#### Analyzing and isolating a localized crack



#### Milestones and future work

- M4: Update status of EIT feasibility evaluation
  - Slides: Due 3/28/2025
  - Satisfied by an updated version of this presentation
- M3: Complete feasibility assessment of using electrical impedance tomography (EIT) for damage localization in graphite microreactor components
  - Report: Due 8/29/2025

